UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/994,642	12/19/1997	TOSHIKAZU YANAI	03500.012444.	6853
	7590 02/24/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of the Americas			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	08/994,642	YANAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TIMOTHY J. HENN	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,13-26,29-34 and 36 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-10,13-26,29 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 1997 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2622

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01 November 2004 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see response, filed 01 November 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 3, 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17 and 36 under 35 USC §102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-10, 13-26, 29-24 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

[claims 3-10, 13-26, 29-34 and 36]

Application/Control Number: 08/994,642

Art Unit: 2622

5. Claim 3 recites a control unit which "generates unit-sequentially different color difference signals". Claim 4 similarly recites "an image signal ... is outputted as a line-sequential color difference signal". However, the specification (see corresponding US-PGPUB 2002/0057354) describes outputting signals S(odd) containing color components (Ye+Mg) and (Cy+G) (Paragraph 0047 and 0064) and S(even) containing color components (Ye+G) and (Cy+Mg) (Paragraph 0049 and 0069). The specification further recites "[t]he signals S(odd) and S(even) constitute line-sequential color difference signals" (Paragraph 0049 and 0069).

Page 3

- 6. The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms defines a color-difference signal as "[a]n electrical signal that, when added to the luminance signal, produces a signal representative to one of the tristimulus values (with respect to the stated set of primaries) of the transmitted color". Color difference signals, as usually thought of in the art, include signals such as R-Y and B-Y, Cb and Cr, I and Q, etc. Therefore, it is believed that the signals S(odd) and S(even) as defined in the specification are not color difference signals. Furthermore, it is unclear whether the statements in Paragraphs 0049 and 0069 are meant as an explicit definition which would redefine the term "color difference signal" to include signals such as S(odd) and S(even). Therefore, it is unclear what is intended to be covered by the term "color difference signal" in claims 3 and 4.
- 7. For these reasons, claims 3 and 4, as well as claims 5-10, 13-26, 29-24 and 36 due to their dependence on either claim 3 or 4, are indefinite. For the purposes of art rejection, "color difference signal" will be read as defined above by IEEE, and including

Art Unit: 2622

signals such as R-Y and B-Y, Cb and Cr, I and Q, etc.

# [claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17 and 36]

- 8. Claim 3 recites a control unit which "generates unit-sequentially different color difference signals from the units adjacent to each other so that the color difference signals generated from adjacent units are different; and the color difference signals generated from every other unit are equal". However, it is unclear whether by use of the term "equal", Applicant intends for the signals value to be mathematically equal (e.g. Signal\_1 = Signal\_3 and Signal\_1 =/= Signal\_2) or for the signals to be of the same type (e.g. Signal\_1 and Signal\_3 are both R-Y signals while Signal\_2 is a B-Y signal).
- 9. For this reason, claim 3, as well as claims 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17 and 36 due to their dependence on claim 3, are indefinite. Due to Applicants use of the mathematical term "equal", especially for comparing signals which typically are defined in mathematical terms, claim 3 will be read as requiring the same signal value (e.g. Signal\_1 = Signal\_3) for color difference signals generated from every other unit.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1 and 2 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 3-10, 13-26, 29-24 and 36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. However, any amendments made to these claims to overcome the 35 USC §112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections which change the scope of the claims will require reconsideration of the indication of allowability.

Art Unit: 2622

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

i. Udagawa et al.

US 5,737,017

13. Udaqawa discloses a CCD type image sensor including a control unit arranged to divide the plurlaity of pixels on a unit basis of a predetermined number of lines), which includes a plurality of first lines alternating with a plurality of second lines (Figure 2, every 2 lines constitutes 1 unit), and arranged to add the signals of the pixels of adjacent first lines and second lines to generate a color component signal from every unit (Figure 3, C1, C2, C3 and C4 constitute color component signals; c. 2, II. 1-13), wherein the control unit generates unit-sequentially different color component signals from the units adjacent to each other so that the color component signals generated from adjacent units are different (Figures 2 and 3, in an even field 1st units generate C1 and C2 component signals while 2nd units generate C3 and C4 component signals); and the color difference signals generated from every other unit are of the same type (Figures 2 and 3, in an even field 1st units generate C1 and C2 component signals, which is the same type generated by every other unit (i.e. 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, etc)). However, in Udagawa, the component signals are not believed to be color difference signals, and furthermore the color component signals are not disclosed to be equal (e.g. having the same signal value).

Art Unit: 2622

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY J. HENN whose telephone number is

(571)272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 11-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy J Henn/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622